UNITED
NATIONS

E

Economic and Social
Council

Distr
GENERAL

E/CN.4/2000/22/Add.1
3 March 2000

Original: ARABIC and
ENGLISH

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
Fifty-sixth session
Item 8 of the provisional agenda

# QUESTION OF THE VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE OCCUPIED ARAB TERRITORIES, INCLUDING PALESTINE

## Report of the Secretary-General

## Addendum

Subsequent to the issuance of document E/CN.4/2000/22, a reply has been received from the League of Arab States which is reproduced in the present document.

GE.00-11402 (E)

League of Arab States

[17 November 1999]

The League of Arab States submitted the following documents: "A report on Israeli violations of Palestinian human rights in the occupied territories", "The suffering of the Lebanese from occupation and the Israeli aggression in southern Lebanon", and "Israeli practices affecting the human rights of Syrian citizens in the occupied territories" prepared, respectively, by the Department of Palestinian Affairs of the General Secretariat of the League of Arab States for the period 1993-1998, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Lebanon for the period 1978-1998 and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Syria for the period 1979-1998*

---

* The annex is reproduced as received, in Arabic and English only.

Annex

## A report on Israeli violations of Palestinian human rights in the occupied territories

Palestinians' individual and collective human rights have up till now been facing stark violations practiced by Israel since its establishment half a century ago. These violations take the form of systematic policy that challenging all international conventions and norms, and constitute unequivocal evidence against Israel's claim of being the only democratic state in the region.

Israeli violations of Palestinian human rights are no longer far from being noticed by the international organizations working in the field of human rights. For many years, such violations have been a basic material for work and subject to condemnation and denunciation by such organizations. This is expressed in many international stances and decisions that should be implemented.

Israeli violations of Palestinian human rights can be summarized in the following forms and patterns:

- Depriving the Palestinian people from its right to self-determination and establishing its independent state on its land as is the case with other nations.

- Depriving it from its economic rights and appropriating its land and natural resources, water and wealths, and unjustly providing them to settlers from all over the world.

- Depriving it from its social rights to a stable and balanced life under family ties and conformity with the environment in which it lived for centuries.

- Depriving it from its cultural rights to regular education and fulfilling its need to acquire knowledge, culture and progress.

- Depriving it from its civil and individual rights, starting from the basic rights of life, physical safety and not being tortured in prisons and jails.

- Depriving it from its right in movement, work, communications and expression.

It is a regrettable coincidence for such violations to continue while the world is due shortly to celebrate the fiftieth anniversary of the International declaration on human rights. And while the world is on the threshold of the twenty first century, it has not been able to do justice to the Palestinian people whose land has been usurped and rights have been confiscated. Moreover, it has been exposed to atrocities, and some have been made homeless and forced to live in refugee camps and exiles a way from their homeland, while the other part lies under occupation with all its abominable practices. It is truly deplorable that the items of that declaration, the right to self- determination in particular, remain inapplicable to the Palestinian people due to the intransigence of the Israeli government and the support given to it by certain powers.

Since the initiation of the peace process in Madrid, there has been hope that the Israeli practices will subside and that the Palestinian issue will come to a just solution, but such hope went in thin air when Netanyahu came to power in Israel and his government adopted concepts and interpretations contrary to the bases of the peace process, such as going around such bases and renouncing the implementation of the signed agreements and the rights arising thereof. It also intentionally followed a policy of imposing dictations, the fait accompli, collective punishment, tightening the political and economic blockade on the Palestinian people, in addition to the confiscation of their land to build settlements, the matter that put the peace process into an a actual crisis, creating an atmosphere of tension and frustration instead of consolidating confidence and mutual respect among the peoples of the region.

In the context of continuous economic blockade imposed on the Palestinian people, the Israeli authorities regularly carried out arbitrary measures including the increasing restriction on the movement of citizens inside the Palestinian territories, closing of border crossings to block the movement of trade, preventing entry of goods and travelers, even between Palestinian Governorates, closing of Jerusalem and preventing thousands of Palestinian workers from carrying out their work inside Israel. Obstacles laid down by Israel in face of trade and economic relations between Palestine and neighboring Arab states are one of the most dangerous aspects of this blockade.

International reports stated that the blockade costs the Palestinian economy more than seven million dollars a day, decreasing its growth rate by 20%, and lowering average per capita share of the national income by 38,8%, in addition to the immense losses inflicted upon the agriculture sector as a result of damaging crops prepared for export and imposing administrative and security restrictions on Palestinian exporters.

Unemployment among Palestinian manpower reached 63% in Gaza and 45% in the West Bank.

Deficit in the Palestinian budget until the end of 1998 was estimated at $127 millions i.e. an increase of 70% more than that expected before the closure.

The human dimension of the closure reached a tragic state whether in terms of preventing university students from continuing their study at universities in the West Bank or in terms of causing the death of scores of people at boarder crossings and Israeli checkpoints in addition to pushing an increasing number of Palestinian families to live below the poverty line in some areas.

In confirmation of this, the Israeli newspaper Ma'arief published on 17th September 1998, includes an article by the Israeli writer Ha'eem Hanghy, in which he stated the facts covering the past ten years, which were compiled by Beitsaleem center for human rights in Israel, the article states the following:

1. Number of Palestinians killed in the occupied territories are 1439, in addition to the death of 55 Palestinians killed inside the greenline (inside Israel).

2. 315 Palestinians were killed inside the Palestinian territories after signing the Oslo Accord in 13 September 1993, in addition to the death of 47 Palestinians inside the greenline during the same period.

3. Around 480 Palestinians ware expelled from the Palestinian occupied territories.

4. Around 18 thousand Palestinians were subject to administrative detention.

5. Interrogating tenths of thousands of Palestinians, with many of them being tortured.

6. Destroying 500 homes as a means of punishment, demolishing 80 homes during the hunt-down for wanted persons and 1800 on the pretext of not having a building license.

It is obvious that Israel scornfully challenges international public opinion and blatantly breaches the UN charter, principles of international law, and the international humanitarian law, in particular, the Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949 on the protection of civilians in wartime, the

1899 and 1907 Hague agreement, the International Declaration on Human Rights, the International Agreement on Economic Social and Cultural Rights, the International Agreement on Political and Civil Rights and the Hague Agreement on the Protection of Cultural Properties, 1954. Israel also has a complete disregard of all resolutions of the UN General Assembly, the relevant Security Council resolutions, and those issued by regional and international organizations and committees concerned with human rights, the human rights committee in particular, which are all valuable mechanisms and bases guaranteeing the protection of human rights.

The continuation of Israeli violations of Palestinian human rights despite the existence of such international mechanisms, requires additional international action at the level of governments and organizations in order to activate such mechanism whether by creating suitable political atmospheres through supporting the peace process in the Middle East and enforcing Israel to fulfil its obligations and to respect the principles and the basis of the Madrid conference, including international legitimacy resolutions and the land for peace formula, or through the action of signatories to the Fourth Geneva Convention and acting on applying it to the Palestinian territories as stated in UN resolutions.

# THE SUFFERING OF THE LEBANESE FROM OCCUPATION AND THE ISRAELI AGGRESSION IN SOUTHERN LEBANON

Overview

Lebanon, a founder Member of the United Nations, is an outstanding humanitarian model in its own region and in the world Representing a myriad of cultures, its citizens provide a working ideal of harmony and integration, despite the diversity of their affiliations, and have achieved memorable successes at country and individual level in cultural and economic fields. Contemporary Lebanese history demonstrates the effectiveness of democratic values, tolerance, mutual acceptance and interaction in creating the Lebanese formula, which is associated with respect for and the promotion and protection of human rights.

Fifty years ago Lebanese diplomacy played an important part in drafting the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the fiftieth anniversary of which, this year, is being celebrated worldwide. Prior to that, the Constitution of Lebanon and its statutes had confirmed the generally recognized rights of humankind and the citizens of modern States.

From 1975 to 1990, Lebanon experienced a bloody and violent humanitarian crisis in the course of which its people were embroiled in the regional and international conflicts being fought out on its soil. It was invaded by Israel in 1978 and 1982; during the second of these invasions the capital was occupied by enemy forces. Tens of thousands of Lebanese were killed during these events and hundreds of thousands were forced into internal and external migration. In the absence of the rule of law and as a result of untrammelled passions and the hatching of plots detrimental to Lebanese individuals and communities, this dark period was characterized by many internal violations of the basic human rights to life, liberty, dignity and property, and by tragedies and disasters affecting the majority of families in

Lebanon. Despite the cruelty and severity of this experience, the Lebanese people managed to preserve their way of life and present to the world once more a blueprint for existence based on multiculturalism and diversity within unity and on living and working together effectively in a human melting pot -- a formula based on respect for the rights of the individual and of society.

Another event that took place fifty years ago was the establishment of the State of Israel in the occupied parts of Palestine on the southern borders of Lebanon. The manner in which this State was established and developed was in complete conflict with the spirit and letter of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The proclamation of the establishment of the State of Israel was a flagrant infraction of the natural right of the Palestinian people to live on their land, and of their right to self-determination, to own property and live in dignity. As a result of that proclamation, there was enormous upheaval and the region was engulfed in a vortex of tragedy and violence which has lasted for the past fifty years, claimed the lives of tens of thousands of innocent victims, left untold destruction and impeded economic, social and political development in neighbouring States over many long decades.

The negative effects of the establishment of Israel have proliferated in both time and space, and have had a profound impact on history in and beyond the region. After half a century, this State still has neither fixed boundaries agreed upon with its neighbours nor any written constitution setting forth guidelines and rules in a manner that would inspire confidence and stability in the region.

The expansionist and colonialist nature of Israel has swept away the rights of the States and citizens of our region in a storm

of violence and rapacity. Individuals have no confidence in the security of their lives, homes, possessions or rights, and no protection is afforded to States, their leaders and their territorial integrity by the international laws and norms which humankind has carefully crafted with a view to providing a standard for international relations.

The suffering imposed on Lebanon and its people by the existence of Israel and the aggressive and expansionist nature of that State goes back to the early days of its establishment in 1948. Tens of thousands of Palestinian refugees poured into Lebanon, fleeing the massacres and exemplary punishments used by Jewish organizations aimed at bringing about the emigration of the largest possible number of the indigenous Palestinian inhabitants.

The basis on which Israel was founded was incompatible with human rights and this has characterized its subsequent policy with regard to the Palestinian people and neighbouring States. The Zionist leadership used force and aggression in order to consolidate its acquisitions, create new situations on the ground and reinforce the completely illegitimate status quo.

Over the past three decades in particular, Israeli policy towards Lebanon has been one of sustained and devastating aggression and complete disregard for the sovereignty of this State and the rights of its inhabitants. In addition to daily military attacks in which Israel uses land, sea and air forces against the people and property of Lebanon, it also, in 1978 and 1982, undertook two large-scale invasions of Lebanese territory, during the second of which it occupied the capital, Beirut. Furthermore, in July 1993 and April 1996, it carried out massive attacks that resulted in the displacement of hundreds of thousands of citizens and scores of fatalities. More than twenty years after the

adoption of Security Council resolution 425 (1978), which called upon Israel to withdraw forthwith its forces from all Lebanese territory, Israel continues to occupy parts of southern Lebanon and the western Bekaa, a region amounting to 10 per cent of the area of Lebanon.

The policy pursued in Lebanon by Israel during this period has led to the deaths of thousands of citizens and residents, with children, women and elderly people accounting for most of those casualties, and to successive waves of migration from scores of villages. It has also resulted in damage to property, including homes, institutions and agricultural land, and losses amounting to billions of dollars. The Israeli occupation is, furthermore, preventing normalization of the situation in the occupied areas and the achievement of progress in the reconstruction and development process upon which Lebanon embarked in 1991 subsequent to the Taif Agreement.

In parallel with its occupation and the repeated acts of aggression that affect the lives of thousands of families, Israel pursues a number of practices in the areas which it occupies that demonstrate utter contempt for the norms and principles agreed upon by the international community in its conventions. There are numerous instances of Israel's disregard for, in particular, the Geneva Convention relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War (12 August 1949).

The Israeli occupying authorities inflict collective punishment on civilians by imposing prolonged blockades on villages and entire regions, thereby depriving the inhabitants of their sources of income, access to medical treatment and education for their children, sometimes for weeks on end. Lebanon has repeatedly requested international organizations to intervene in order to have

such blockades lifted or alleviate their effects. Furthermore, the Israeli authorities forcibly conscript young men in the areas under their occupation with a view to employing them in their client militias, reinforcing the authority of the occupation and furthering their policy of containing civilians under suspicion of opposing them.

One of the most extreme examples of the tyrannical policy pursued by the occupying forces is their arrest of scores of citizens whom they then throw into prisons and detention camps in occupied areas of Lebanon or inside Israel. The victims are kept in detention for years, without any form of trial and in extremely harsh conditions. They are subjected to all kinds of torture and degradation, and many have died or been severely disabled as a result. On 4 March 1998, the Israeli Supreme Court announced the adoption of legislation that conferred on the Israeli authorities the right to hold Lebanese detainees without trial and to use them as hostages and as political bargaining chips. This is typical of the manner in which Israel deals with human rights principles on legal, administrative and political levels. The aforementioned legislation is not the first such act to be adopted by the Israeli Supreme Court, since it had previously decreed that it was lawful to torture Arab detainees in order to elicit incriminating so-called confessions.

Israeli practices and attacks against Lebanon and its citizens have repeatedly been severely condemned by international organizations and are regularly censured at the annual meetings in Geneva of the Commission on Human Rights.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights was a response to the appalling suffering endured by millions of people during the two World Wars. It was a document intended to protect human

dignity and basic human rights. It is frustrating for the Lebanese people, which has always considered that it made an effective contribution to the formulation of this Declaration, to be the victim of a tyrannical neighbour that, in order to establish itself as an entity, has chosen to disregard the basic principles of human rights and to adopt that posture as policy towards Lebanon and its other Arab neighbours.

Although reports of Israeli contraventions of international and humanitarian laws fill thousands of pages of the documents issued by international organizations, Israel continues to pursue the same policy, exploiting the sympathy it enjoys in the West as a result of Jewish suffering during the Second World War. While the West fails to show any sympathy for the Palestinian people, Israel profits from the West's lack of political will to condemn it for violations of the resolutions establishing international legitimacy and its daily creation of new facts, systematically and determinedly employing the oppressive and tyrannical methods in which it is so adept.

Israeli policy has led the region and its peoples into wars and armed confrontations that have resulted in poverty and despair and had a destructive impact on the most basic rights of individuals and communities. Consequently, the automatic Arab response was to make every effort to end the Arab-Israeli struggle. The Arab peoples had every hope that the Middle East peace process would end the disregard shown for their rights and allow them to enjoy their natural right to life and to political, cultural and economic development, which had been impeded for so many years by the continued state of alert, crises and tension.

The Madrid Peace Conference on the Middle East was held on the basis of the implementation of Security Council resolutions and the

principle of land-for-peace. Preparations took a great deal of time, effort and consultation. However, the hopes invested in that Conference were soon dissipated as a result of Israeli intransigence and the rejection by the current Israeli Government of the peace principle which had been agreed upon. Despite many rounds of negotiations, the parties have been unable to realize any of the solutions agreed upon as part of the Madrid process. Whatever the achievements made in the peace process, they have taken place outside that framework and have been part of a course of action intended to undermine its goal of achieving a just, comprehensive and lasting peace for the peoples and States of the Middle East.

By insisting on continuing to implement its expansionist and colonialist policy and denying the Palestinian people its natural rights, Israel is responsible for the failure of peace initiatives. It uses the pretext of its security as an instrument for disrupting the peace process, imposing crippling and unacceptable conditions on the peoples and governments of the region.

If the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is to be effective in this region of the world, there must be support for endeavours leading to the realization of peace on the basis of international legitimacy. Israel must review its aggressive policy, which fails to lay the ground for it to become an entity acceptable to the region, since its attitude is racist and outside the law and legitimate considerations.

Lebanon, with its brother Arab States, is adamant in its conviction that peace is a strategic choice, and realizes the importance for the future of the whole region of achieving that goal for its own people and those of neighbouring States. It will continue to resist the occupation by Israel of parts of its

territory and that State's acts of official terrorism, such as its repeated military attacks on the territory and citizens of Lebanon and its tyrannical practices with regard to the inhabitants of the regions under its occupation. Lebanon, which has condemned all forms of terrorism, considers that resistance to occupation and to the State terrorism practised against it is a basic human right. It is proud of its brave citizens under foreign occupation, who lay down their lives out of a belief in this and other human rights and in the right of their country to independence, freedom and sovereignty.

## I. ISRAELI MILITARY ATTACKS AGAINST LEBANON

Israel has continued to engage in repeated acts of aggression against Lebanon ever since its special forces carried out a massive attack against Beirut International Airport during the night of 28 December 1968, destroying a large number of Lebanese civilian aircraft, an iniquitous act which was censured by the international community and condemned by the Security Council. Successive Israeli military operations were launched against Lebanese territory, while the villages in the southern border region were subjected to bombings and invasions to which many citizens fell victim. Israel also targeted the Palestinian refugee camps located throughout the whole of Lebanon and in the regions encircling the capital, Beirut, subjecting them to air strikes, each of which left scores of dead and injured.

The period from 1968 to 1978 was one of extensive Israeli military operations involving its ground, air and naval forces. Such operations greatly increased the number of civilians victims and caused large-scale damage to property and the forced migration of innumerable families from the towns and villages of southern Lebanon.

Even the capital, Beirut, was not immune to Israeli attack during this period. It was subjected to air strikes, scores of bombings and other explosions and assassinations were carried out. As a result, scores of innocent lives were lost.

The invasion of March 1978 - Operation Litani (Operation Peace for Galilee)

On 14 March 1987, Israeli forces, on the pretext of protecting settlements in northern Israel, invaded large areas of southern Lebanon as far as the banks of the Litani river. Taking part in the invasion were 25,000 Israeli soldiers, with heavy back-up from tanks, battleships and aircraft. Civilian casualties were immense as a result of the use of internationally proscribed incendiary and fragmentation bombs in the shelling of residential quarters of the Tyre region. The worst of the resulting carnage was in Abbasiyah, where 140 were killed and 50 injured.

In the course of seven days, 358 villages in southern Lebanon came under attack, 1,168 people were killed and thousands injured, many of them civilians. Furthermore, 1,100 kilometres of Lebanese territory were occupied and some 220,000 people driven from their homes. Most villages in southern Lebanon sustained substantial damage, while many were completely destroyed. Among the latter were Ghanduriyah, Abbasiyah, Izbah, Qantarah, Dayr Hana - Bayadah, Mazra'at al-Tumayriyah and Mazra'at al-Hizbiyah. Israeli forces completely destroyed 2,500 homes and inflicted substantial damage on a further 620. Also destroyed were 50 schools, 10 hospitals and clinics, the entire infrastructure and all public installations (water, electricity and telephones) and more than 20 mosques and churches. Hundreds of thousands of dunums of arable land were ravaged and some 150,000 olive and orange trees were destroyed by

fire.

The Israeli occupying forces and their client border militias perpetrated a massacre in the village of Khiyam, where they murdered fifty elderly people who refused to leave the village. They also butchered one quarter of the population of Yarun. It should be noted here that, at the time, the Security Council adopted resolution 425 (1978) with a view to ending the Israeli aggression.

The attack of July 1981

From 14 to 24 July 1981, Israel conducted an airborne onslaught on Lebanon, together with destructive artillery shelling. Scores of fighter aircraft carried out raids on 46 towns and villages, including Tyre, Sidon, Nabatiyah, Zahrani, Hasbayya and Rashayya al-Wadi, as well as raids on Iqlim Al-Khurub, the Shuf region and the capital, Beirut. The bridges linking the various areas of the south were a principal target: eight vital bridges were destroyed in southern Lebanon and the western Bekaa, including the Zahrani, Qasimiyah, Hubush, Wadi al-Akhdar and Qilya bridges. The aircraft missed the Hasbani bridge. The bombing also destroyed much of the Zahrani oil refinery.

The bombings and the heavy raids killed 252, injured 920, destroyed 380 homes and devastated vast areas of agricultural land.

The invasion of 6 June 1982 (Operation "Peace for Galilee")

Following heavy air raids on the capital, Beirut, and most areas of the south throughout 4 and 5 June, on the morning of 6 June, Israel embarked on a wide-scale military invasion of Lebanese territory, code-named Operation "Peace for Galilee", in which

approximately 70,000 Israeli soldiers took part.

The outcome of this attack was the Israeli army's occupation of two-thirds of Lebanese territory and its 83-day blockade of the capital, Beirut, which it pounded with tens of thousands of shells fired by hundreds of tanks, aircraft and gunboats.

The civilian casualties from this Israeli attack amounted to 1,908 dead and 31,915 injured, with statistics confirming that 15 per cent of all victims were children aged under 15.

As a result of the invasion, 1.7 million citizens were forced to migrate from different parts of Lebanese territory (the south, the western Bekaa, the coast and Beirut and its suburbs) and 33 towns and villages were completely demolished, as were 16 Palestinian camps. It should be mentioned that, in its air, ground and sea operations, the Israeli army deployed nail bombs, fragmentation bombs and incendiary (napalm) bombs, which are internationally prohibited.

The Lebanese Council for Development and Reconstruction estimated the cost of the damage from the Israeli invasion of Lebanon and the incidents of June, July and August 1982 at 7,622,774,000 Lebanese pounds, equivalent to US$2 billion at that time. A breakdown of that cost is as follows:

The education sector: LL 330,129,000
The health sector: LL 228,357,000
The potable water sector: LL 30,515,000
The irrigation sector: LL 4,500,000
The housing sector: LL 3,434,654,000
The electricity sector: LL 300,000
The communications sector: LL 250,000,000

The trade sector: LL 1,940,969,000

The Security Council adopted resolutions 508 (1982), 509 (1982), 512 (1982), 513 (1982), 515 (1982), 516 (1982), 517 (1982), 518 (1982), 520 (1982) and 521 (1982), which called for a halt to the aggression, as well as for the protection of civilians and the withdrawal of Israeli forces.

The attack of 25-31 July 1993 (Operation "Accountability") (the Seven Day War)

On 25 July 1993, Israel began attacking southern Lebanon and areas of the Shuf from the air and sea, reaching as far north as Badawi camp.

Targeting over 60 villages, towns and townships, the attack continued unremittingly for seven days and nights, during which time more than 27,000 155-mm and 175-mm shells were fired by Israeli artillery and gunboats. Fighter aircraft also launched over 1,000 air raids in which hundreds of heavy missiles and highly destructive fuel air explosives were dropped. This Israeli military operation generated heavy material and human losses, as follows:

- Approximately 10,000 houses were completely destroyed;

- A total of 20 million houses suffered heavy damage, necessitating high-cost repair work;

- Major damage was inflicted on approximately 120 villages, including places of worship, the communications and telephone networks, commercial enterprises and shops, vehicles, farms, schools and vital installations (water and electricity stations);

- Approximately 300,000 citizens were forced to migrate from their villages and other areas to Beirut and the Bekaa, which created extremely difficult problems for them;

- According to a statement made by the Israeli leadership, Israeli forces fired 21,000 missiles on southern villages and towns;

- Some 1,500 missiles were fired from aircraft;

- The fire power used in this military operation is calculated to have amounted to over 125 175-mm self-propelled pieces of artillery. In addition, over 1,000 fighter aircraft and helicopters took part in the operation, which aimed to annihilate the southern villages and thereby prevent their inhabitants from supporting the resistance against the occupation.

Human losses

A total of 150 people were killed, most of them children, women and elderly persons.

The attack of 11 April 1996 (named Operation "Grapes of Wrath" by Israel)

From 11-25 April 1996, Israel carried out Operation "Grapes of Wrath". Affecting wide-scale areas in the south, the Bekaa, Beirut, Mount Lebanon and the north, its military operations came as a postscript to Operation "Accountability", which was completed in 1993.

As a corollary of Operation "Grapes of Wrath", an even greater

number of brutal and devastating activities were carried out by the occupying forces against Lebanese civilians in their villages, in turn heightening the tragedies caused by those activities.

The military operation began on 11 April 1996 with air attacks on civilian vehicles, the aim of which was to paralyse traffic on the roads between the southern villages. It was preceded by a series of threats by Israel's military and political leaders concerning the military operation and its outcome.

According to statistics reported in June 1996 by a United Nations programme for the return of internal displaced persons, the artillery shelling and bombings from the air and sea, which lasted for 16 consecutive days, inflicted great damage on the townships of the south and the western Bekaa. Of those 195 townships, 51 sustained partial damage, 30 sustained considerable damage, 17 sustained major damage and a further 17 sustained catastrophic damage. In addition, 7,201 residential houses were damaged; 5,718 of these suffered partial damage, 1,053 suffered substantial damage and 430 were completely destroyed. One hospital was partially demolished, one infirmary was completely destroyed and a further 15 sustained considerable damage. One school was also completely destroyed and a further 41 were substantially damaged. One administrative building was also completely destroyed, two were partially destroyed and three others sustained substantial damage.

As for places of worship, a total of 46 were damaged, a further 12 were partially destroyed and two were entirely destroyed.

Of the 40 artesian wells damaged, 11 suffered slight damage, 13 suffered major damage and 16 were completely destroyed. In addition, 14 bridges suffered slight damage, 2 suffered major

damage and 10 were totally destroyed. Two large water reservoirs serving scores of villages were also damaged, as were 20 other reservoirs. Of these, 3 were entirely destroyed, 6 were partially destroyed and 11 suffered major damage.

The report stated that the attacks destroyed 57 water lines, 72 electricity grids and 102 telephone systems. In addition, 124 roads were completely destroyed and a further 227 roads suffered partial damage.

In the economic sector, 99 industrial and professional enterprises were destroyed, including four which were completely destroyed and 66 which suffered major damage. A total of 1,420 shops and warehouses suffered partial damage, 121 suffered major damage and 59 were completely destroyed. Of the 52 farms which suffered damage, 11 were totally destroyed, 2 were largely destroyed and 29 were partially destroyed.

A total of 377 vehicles and 15 tractors were also destroyed and a further 479 vehicles and 31 tractors were badly damaged.

As a result of the military operation, 141 civilians were killed and 154 injured. Israel also perpetrated several civilian massacres of children, women and elderly persons during its aerial and artillery attacks. The toll from these massacres was as follows:

- Civilian casualties of the Nabatiyah massacre: 13 killed and two injured, all of them children, women or elderly persons;

- Civilian casualties of the Suhmur massacre: Nine killed and two injured, all of them children, women or elderly persons;

- Civilian casualties of the Qana massacre: 107 killed and 145 injured, all of them women, children or elderly persons who were targeted by Israeli artillery when sheltering in the compound of the Fijian component of the United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon after fleeing from the bombardment of their homes. The General Assembly of the United Nations adopted a resolution in which it condemned Israel for that barbaric act;

- Civilian casualties of the massacre involving an ambulance from the Mansuri township: Four martyred and five injured, all of them women and children;

- Civilian casualties of the Jumayjimah massacre: Three killed.

The occupying forces were intent on driving out the inhabitants of the southern villages in order to put pressure on the Lebanese Government and force it to confront the serious problem of migrants which would result. They used leaflets and bulletins to urge civilians to leave their homes and villages. A total of 400,000 citizens migrated from the south and the western Bekaa and dispersed to Beirut, Mount Lebanon and the north.

Israel mounted some 850 air raids during which its army used air-to-surface missiles of various types. Some of these weighed as much as one ton and had the capacity to penetrate to a depth of four to five metres.

In the Israeli shelling from the ground, tens of thousands of 155-mm and 175-mm shells were fired (one shell being enough to destroy a house completely), while the bombardment from the sea completely cut off the coastal road between Sidon and Tyre. A number of civilians were also killed or injured while travelling on that road in their migration from the southern villages.

As a result of this operation, the "April understanding" was worked out to provide for the establishment of a monitoring group with a view to ensuring the protection of civilians by both sides and the avoidance of civilian casualties. It should be noted, however, that the Lebanese resistance targeted the installations, concentrations and movements of the Israeli forces and the South Lebanon Army client militia, whereas the occupying forces attacked civilians with artillery fire.

Israeli attacks on civilians

During the 30 years of military operations carried out by the Israeli forces, civilians have been consistently and directly targeted, the prime Israeli objective being to cause the greatest number of injuries among them in order to drive them off their land or subject them to the control of the military administration, and to annex territory. This was all part of the standard policy, which consists of causing death and injury, practising intimidation and torture, imprisoning and expelling citizens, destroying agricultural crops, cutting down wild trees and fruit trees, blockading villages and so on. The arbitrary measures and encroachments to which these villages have been subjected include the following:

1. Air raids have been carried out on residential houses, resulting in massacres in which hundreds were killed and thousands injured during the repeated attacks on the south and the western Bekaa, particularly in the massacres which took place in Abbasiyah, Mansuri, Nabatiyah and Dayr Zahrani.

2. The daily shelling with different types of artillery has resulted in the death and injury of civilians and the destruction

of their homes, their workplaces, their fields and their places of worship.

3. The houses in each village in the vicinity of the occupied sites have been subjected to an almost constant barrage of fire from the sites overlooking them, the aim being to terrorize citizens, kill and injure some of their numbers and prevent them from going to their fields, as well as to kill livestock, which is a major farming resource.

4. Exploding and booby-trapped packages have been laid for civilians, herdsmen and farmers as they travel to their land and to work, examples being those which exploded in Nabatiyah, Frun, Yuhmur, Majdal Zun, Yatar, Bra'shit, Hula and Jazzin.

5. Peaceful inhabitants have been constantly forced to migrate from their villages and homes; as a result of the recurrent invasions and attacks, hundreds and thousands of civilians have migrated.

6. Civilians have been subjected to arbitrary acts, as well as to arrest, imprisonment, investigation and the denial of freedoms. They have also been subjected to the worst types of mental and physical torture; some prisoners have been killed by torture and others have been permanently disfigured.

7. Villages and townships have been blockaded, citizens have been denied entry and exit and the delivery of humanitarian assistance has been prevented. Examples include the blockades on the villages of Shab'a, Aytarun, Arnun, Kafr Killa, Adsiyah, Tayri, Bint Jubayl, Hula, Rabb Thalathin and Markaba, not to mention the scores of other villages which were blockaded in earlier years.

8. Houses belonging to the families of members of the resistance have been blown up by the Israeli forces and their client militia in violation of article 17, paragraph 2, of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which stipulates that "No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property."

9. Ports have been blockaded and fishermen prevented from carrying out the fishing which provided a livelihood for thousands of needy families. A number of fishermen have also been arrested, beaten and tortured and their boats and nets destroyed.

10. Israeli fighter planes have also attempted to kill children by dropping thousands of booby-trapped toys on Lebanese villages and towns. The Israeli occupying forces have used this method through the years and continue to do so, the most recent example being when booby-trapped toys were dropped on the town of Nabatiyah, killing and injuring children and permanently disfiguring others.

11. Many people have been expelled from their villages and prevented from going back. Contrary to article 49 of the fourth Geneva Convention, thousands of individuals who refused to cooperate with the Israeli occupying authorities, and by association, their families, have been included in this expulsion process.

12. People have been prevented from harvesting their agricultural crops, orchards have been set alight and agricultural produce and crops have been destroyed with phosphorus shells and other internationally prohibited weapons so that the inhabitants are forced to migrate, economic activity comes to a halt and a no-man's land is imposed between the occupied and liberated areas.

13. A police regime has been imposed using members of the Israeli

army and its client forces in the occupied border strip. Its task is to curtail all types of freedoms, paralyse political, economic, social and cultural life and suppress any activity or movement that does not further the occupation, as well as to impose compulsory conscription on the Lebanese youth belonging to the so-called "South Lebanon Army", the client militia, and arrest those who refuse conscription (which is contrary to article 51 of the Geneva Conventions). Whether or not they are residents of the occupied strip, civilians are subject to rigorous Israeli conditions whenever they wish to enter or leave the occupied areas. For instance, Israel requires those who wish to travel to obtain permits, and children, women and elderly persons from families with members in the resistance are expelled, contrary to article 13, paragraph 1, of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights relating to freedom of movement, which stipulates that "Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State."

14. Ambulances belonging to the Lebanese Red Cross and other accredited organizations have been attacked (as was the case in particular during the Israeli attacks of 1993 and 1996), as have hospitals, despite the fact that the Geneva Conventions clearly and categorically prohibit attacks on ambulances, hospitals and medical staff in general.

15. Contrary to article 51, paragraph 2, of Protocol I, which prohibits acts or threats of violence the primary purpose of which is to spread terror among the civilian population, Israel has issued threats through its officials in the occupied areas. Examples of such threats areas follows:

- On 26 July 1993, during the so-called Operation "Accountability", the Israeli army chief of staff, General Yahuda

Barak, said during an interview shown on the Israeli television network that Israel held Hizbollah to account, together with the people harbouring its members and the Lebanese regime, which allowed it to carry on all its activities.

- On 14 July 1993, a high-ranking Israeli source from the Israeli Defence Forces told Israeli television that Israel had the right to counter any element, including Iran, the Syrian Arab Republic, Lebanon, the Lebanese people and terrorist organizations themselves, that directed activities against it.

- Following reports that Israel had bombed a number of civilian villages in southern Lebanon, an undisclosed military source was reported in the 22 July 1993 edition of the Israeli newspaper Ha'aretz as saying that Israel was waiting to see whether terrorists understood the message of the Israeli Defence Forces that any Katyusha rocket fired by terrorists in the direction of the northern settlements would be crossing the red lines. If that were to happen, he said, Israel would have no option but to launch an intensive operation that would see many victims, not only among terrorists but also among civilians, who would be unable to escape from their homes.

Israel also failed to spare the Lebanese infrastructure during its attacks, particularly during the so-called Operation "Grapes of Wrath" (1996), when it deliberately bombed two electric power stations in Beirut and 12 water facilities.

Israeli attacks against civilians and international law

Israel continues to carry out attacks against civilians in Lebanon in direct violation of the right to life and the right to security of person, as provided for under article 3 of the

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (10 December 1948) and article 6 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

Israel consistently flouts the norms and principles of international law which clearly and unequivocally prohibit direct and indiscriminate attacks against civilians. It repeatedly contravenes the Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I), whose basic provisions, particularly those relating to civilian populations, constitute an integral part of the norms of international law by which all States are bound.

By attacking civilians on Lebanese territory, Israel has violated the following articles of the first Protocol to the Geneva Conventions of 1949:

(a) Prohibition of direct attacks against the civilian population (referred to as the "basic rule" of the principle of distinction)

Article 48, which provides as follows:

> "In order to ensure respect for and protection of the civilian population and civilian objects, the Parties to the conflict shall at all times distinguish between the civilian population and combatants and between civilian objects and military objectives and accordingly shall direct their operations only against military objectives."

Article 51, paragraph 2, which provides as follows:

> "The civilian population as such, as well as

individual citizens, shall not be the object of attack."

(b) Prohibition of indiscriminate attacks

Article 51, paragraph 4, which provides as follows:

"Indiscriminate attacks are prohibited. Indiscriminate attacks are:

(a) Those which are not directed at a specific military objective;

(b) Those which employ a method or means of combat which cannot be directed at a specific military objective; or

(c) Those which employ a method or means of combat the effects of which cannot be limited as required by this Protocol;

and consequently, in each such case, are of a nature to strike military objectives and civilians or civilian objects without distinction."

Israeli armed forces carry out indiscriminate attacks in contravention of the principle of distinction, attacking military targets at random and without regard for their effect upon the civilian population, using fragmentation weapons or weapons that are not equipped for target discrimination.

The regular use of this tactic demonstrates a blatant disregard for civilian lives and property.

There is plenty of evidence to show that Israel carries out indiscriminate attacks against Lebanese civilians. In one of the most horrific incidents, during the so-called "Operation Grapes of Wrath" in 1996, Israel was responsible for a massacre at Qana in which it shelled the battalion headquarters of the Fijian contingent of the United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon (UNIFIL), killing over one hundred civilians. In statements to the local and international media, Israel alleged that the base was being used by enemy forces.

According to article 51 of the first Additional Protocol to the Geneva Conventions of 1949, an indiscriminate attack is: "An attack by bombardment by any methods or means which treats as a single military objective a number of clearly separated and distinct military objectives located in a city, town, village or other area containing a similar concentration of civilians or civilian objects."

## II. MASSACRES OF CIVILIANS IN LEBANON 1948-1998

### Hula massacre, 1948

After occupying the village of Hula and rounding up a number of villagers, Israeli forces executed the remaining children, young persons, older and disabled persons in a savage massacre. A total of 90 persons were assembled in one house which was then demolished with the occupants still inside. The remaining families were forced to flee.

### Hula massacre, 1967

Israel was responsible for a second massacre in Hula in which five women were killed.

### Hanin massacre, 1967

On 26 November 1967, following a three-month siege, Israel carried out a massacre in the village of Hanin. After shelling the village intensively for several hours, Israeli motorized divisions stormed Hanin and killed the inhabitants with axes, looting and setting fire to their homes. All the houses were razed to the ground, except for one room that was left standing without a roof. There are no surviving witnesses.

Bint Jubayl massacre, 1976

On 21 October 1976, Israeli forces launched an attack on the Khamis market in the town of Bint Jubayl, killing 23 persons and injuring 30 others in a bloody massacre.

Awzai massacre, 1978

On 15 March 1978, Israeli aircraft attacked housing units and commercial enterprises in the Awzai area close to the capital, Beirut. A total of 36 citizens were killed and a number of others were wounded. Thirty housing units were completely destroyed.

Rashayya Adlun massacre, 1978

A total of 15 Lebanese civilians who had taken refuge in a local church were killed by shelling from Israeli artillery.

Adlun massacre, 1978

At 0200 hours on Friday, 17 March 1978, twenty members of the Tawil family who were travelling in the direction of Beirut in two Mercedes cars as they fled from Israeli shelling, were attacked by Israeli commandos who were on the shore at Adlun. Seventeen Lebanese citizens were killed and three were wounded.

Khiyam massacre, 1978

On 17 March 1978 a division of the South Lebanon Army, which collaborates with the occupation forces, attacked the village of

Khiyam and carried out an appalling massacre in which over 100 people, aged mostly between 70 and 85 years, were killed. They subsequently stole everything from the village.

Abbasiyah massacre, 1978

On 15 March 1978, Israeli aircraft attacked a mosque in which several families were sheltering in the village of Abbasiyah. Forty people, mostly women and children, were killed and hundreds of others were wounded.

Kunin massacre, 1978

On 15 March 1978, Israeli motorized forces cold-bloodedly demolished a car and a petrol station with the owners still inside. There was a total of 16 fatalities.

Sabra and Shatila massacre, 1982

On 16 and 17 September 1982, Israeli forces and militia collaborating with them carried out a massacre in which 800 Palestinian refugees were killed.

Suhmur massacre, 1984

Israeli occupation forces carried out a massacre in the village of Suhmur. After tanks and armoured cars stormed into the village, all the families were herded into the town square where Israeli forces opened fire, killing 13 people and wounding 12 others.

Sir al-Gharbiyah massacre, 1985

On 23 February 1985, Israeli occupation forces carried out a massacre in the village of Sir al-Gharbiyah in the district of Nabatiyah. Armoured cars stormed into the village and opened fire on civilians who had assembled in the local church. Seven people were killed and several others were wounded.

Marakah massacre, 1985

On 4 March 1985 Israeli forces carried out another massacre in the village of Marakah, killing 15 people and wounding 45.

Bi'r al-Abd massacre, 1985

On 7 March 1985, in an operation carried out by the Israeli intelligence services, 75 people were killed and hundreds of others, mostly women and children, were killed when a car bomb containing over 200 kilos of TNT exploded in Snubarah Square in Bi'r al-Abd.

Zra'iyah massacre, 1985

On 11 March 1985, 22 citizens were killed in fighting with an attacking Israeli motorized force of over 100 vehicles which had stormed the town.

Tuffah area massacre, 1985

On 12 March 1985, over 30 people were killed and hundreds were injured in a massacre carried out by Israeli forces in several villages in the Tuffah area.

Dayr al-Zahrani massacre, 1994

On 5 August 1994, the Israeli air force was responsible for another massacre in which it attacked and destroyed a two-storey building in the village of Dayr al-Zahrani in southern Lebanon, killing eight persons and wounding 17 others.

Sumhur massacre, 1996

On 12 April 1996, Israeli artillery shelled the village of Sumhur in the western Bekaa, using 175-mm mortars and hitting and destroying a civilian car. Eight civilians were killed.

Nabatiyah massacre, 1996

On the morning of 18 April 1996, Israeli warplanes attacked the home of Ali Jawad Mali in which a family was sheltering in the town of Upper Nabatiyah. The house was destroyed and a woman and her seven children were killed.

Qana slaughter, 1996

At midday on 18 April 1996, Israeli occupation forces launched internationally proscribed 155-mm shells (proximity fused shells) at the headquarters of the Fijian Battalion of UNIFIL in the village of Qana. The forces targeted three hangars in which families from Qana and the surrounding villages and towns had taken shelter from Israeli shelling during Operation Grapes of Wrath. Some 107 people were killed, including 33 children.

## III. INTERNATIONALLY PROSCRIBED WEAPONS USED BY ISRAEL IN LEBANON SINCE 1978

Since it first occupied Lebanese territory in 1978, Israel has used internationally proscribed weapons to shell populated villages and towns. It has done this in defiance of international agreements which specifically ban such weapons and provide for sanctions against any State which uses them, particularly against civilians. In this connection, we refer to the 1899 Hague Declaration concerning the Prohibition of the Use of Expanding Bullets and the 1925 Geneva Protocol for the Prohibition of the Use in War of Asphyxiating, Poisonous or other Gases, and of Bacteriological Methods of Warfare. Israel's use of internationally proscribed weapons has caused serious material damage and severe injuries to victims, inflicting permanent physical disabilities on many of them.

Specifications of internationally proscribed weapons

| Type of weapon | Application | Effects |
|---|---|---|
| Nai' bombs | Used extensively by Israeli M-60 and Merkava tanks. with | Nail-shaped shrapnel a four-finned tail section penetrates the human body and tears flesh and bone, inflicting permanent disability. Hospitals report hundreds of cases. |
| Phosphorous bombs and bombs known as Al-Tarmid and Al-Tarasid | Used in Israeli 81/120/160-mm mortars and shells of various calibres, including 122/155/ 175-mm shells. | Classified as chemical weapons, they cause burns and injuries. The bombs, which burn the victim's flesh, are also used to torch houses, cultivated fields and forests. |
| Fragmentation Bombs | Used by Israeli field artillery and in surface-to-Surface and ground-to-air missiles. | They scatter the largest possible number of lethal exploding bomblets. |

Details of proscribed weapons used by Israeli forces in Lebanon

Type: Cluster bomb (Tal 1)

Role and means of delivery: Air-to-ground, using fighter aircraft

Weight: 25 kilos

No. of submunitions: 279 bomblets, each weighing 500 grammes

Type: Cluster bomb (Rockeye 1 and Rockeye 2)

Role and means of delivery: Air-to-ground, using fighter aircraft

Weight: 227 kilos (Rockeye 1) and approximately 500 kilos (Rockeye 2)

No. of submunitions: 247 (Rockeye 1) and 717 (Rockeye 2) bomblets,

each weighing 500 grammes

Type: Nail bomb
Role: Various models and sizes, depending on the means of delivery (tanks, field artillery, Howitzers, self-propulsion, mortar)
Weight: Various
Nail length: 2.75 cms

Type: Israeli 120-mm cluster bomb (CL3144 ICM)
Means of delivery: 120-mm shell
Shell weight: 15 kilos
Coverage: Between 100 and 110 square metres
No. of submunitions: 24 bomblets

## IV. HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN ISRAELI DETENTION CAMPS IN SOUTHERN LEBANON

The Israeli occupation forces have established a number of detention camps in the occupied part of southern Lebanon into which they have thrown hundreds of Lebanese citizens, including women, elderly men, young men and boys. These camps are supervised by Israeli army officers. Contrary to international law and custom, the occupation forces have transferred dozens of the detainees to prisons inside Israel and have sentenced them to incarceration for terms ranging from 10 to 30 years. These detainees are in effect hostages who do not enjoy the protection for which provision is made in the Geneva Convention of 1949 on protection of civilians. Israel keeps them in what it calls "administrative detention" for long periods of time, which is in violation of international law, since the detainee is given no opportunity to defend himself or retain a lawyer, nor is he allowed to be interviewed. The International Committee of the Red Cross has failed repeatedly to persuade the Israelis to allow its representative to visit

detainees, whether in the Khiam camp or others in the occupied border strip, to check on their conditions.

Israel is trying to hoodwink the international community into believing that the Khiam camp and the other detention camps are administered by the collaborationist militia known as the South Lebanon Army, but Amnesty International has found strong indications that Israeli intelligence officers are involved in the administration of the camps and in the interrogation and torture of detainees.

The Israeli occupation forces apprehend and detain dozens of Lebanese citizens, hold them unlawfully without trial, continue to detain some of them after completion of their sentences and also torture them, actions which contravene the Hague and Geneva Conventions, in particular articles 49 and 76 of the Third Geneva Convention. These measures also contravene the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which prohibits torture and the arbitrary deprivation of liberty and the right to a fair trial.

The preamble to the Declaration refers to the need to recognize "the inherent dignity ... of all members of the human family" and "the dignity ... of the human person".

Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, for its part, states that "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."

The Israeli authorities are deliberately torturing Lebanese and other detainees and subjecting them to degrading treatment, both in the Khiam detention camp in the occupied border strip and in Israeli prisons.

Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that no one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile. The same principle is reiterated in article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

The Khiam detention camp

A barracks overlooking the border town of Khiam was built in 1933 to house the troops of the Mandatory Power of that time, France. In 1943 the barracks was taken over by the Lebanese Army. In March 1978, during the course of the Israeli incursion into Lebanon ("Operation Litani"), the Israeli forces occupied the barracks and turned it over to the collaborationist border militia led by Saad Haddad.

In 1985, the Northern Command of the Israeli army of occupation decided to use the barracks as a central detention camp under the command of Antoine Lahad, who had taken over as leader of the militia collaborating with the Israeli occupation forces in 1986 after the death of Saad Haddad. The Israelis made alterations to the structure so that the barracks could accommodate numbers of Lebanese detainees.

Administratively, the camp is subject to the Israeli civil administration in the occupied border zone, under the supervision of a high-ranking Military Intelligence officer, while the camp's day-to-day affairs are handled by a team of officers belonging to the South Lebanon Army.

In an Amnesty International report of May 1995, there is an unambiguous reference to the Israeli Army's direct control of the border zone of southern Lebanon, where Lahad's militia operates, and to the administration of the zone by an Israeli civil authority

working in tandem with that militia.

Nor is Israel's role limited to the presence of Israeli officials in the Khiam detention camp. In another passage, the Amnesty International report states that the Israeli army of occupation arrested a number of persons in the border strip, subsequently transferring some of them to prisons inside Israel and turning the rest over to the South Lebanon Army for interrogation and detention in the Khiam camp.

Reports issued by various humanitarian organizations indicate that the Khiam detention camp currently holds 140 detainees, including four women and a number of juveniles. Most of the detainees are from the occupied border zone. They come from diverse socio-economic and occupational backgrounds.

The situation of detainees being held in the Khiam camp

Conditions in the Khiam detention camp are inhumane. Any form of misbehaviour is severely punished, over and above such collective punishments as refusing to provide the prisoners with water for washing, depriving them of food, and giving them only a small can for sanitary purposes in their sleeping quarters, instead of toilets.

The camp administration may allow communal washing for the inmates, but never more than once a week, and sometimes for as little as three minutes. Those who take longer are punished. Health care is virtually nonexistent: illnesses are treated with aspirin and sedatives, and there are no periodic medical checks on the state of the inmates' health. Indeed, a number of injured inmates have been deliberately left untreated as a form of torture. The list of victims of this practice, which is not exhaustive,

includes Hassan Alawiyah, Ali Ayyub and Sulayman Ramadan, all of whom have had a foot amputated under primitive conditions, and Yusuf Khanafir, who had a head wound that turned septic after the prison administration refused to have it attended to.

Methods of torture and interrogation

The detainees being held in Khiam detention camp are subjected to torture in many forms, which fall into the two main categories of physical and mental torture.

I. Physical torture

Detainees are subjected to:

(a) The electric chair;

(b) Electric shocks;

(c) Beating with wire cables;

(d) Hanging;

(e) The detainee is suspended from a lighting fixture with his hands handcuffed over his head and a concrete block under his feet. The concrete block is then removed from underneath him to leave him suspended on tiptoe for approximately 30 hours;

(f) Confinement in "the hole", which is a cell measuring not more than 50 cm by 70 cm high that is flooded with water;

(g) Confinement in an unlit cell for a long period of time.

II. Mental torture

(a) Threats to have the individual's family incarcerated;

(b) Deprivation of sleep, food and medical facilities;

(c) Handcuffs and leg irons, with a bag placed over the head;

(d) Solitary confinement;

(e) Torture of close relatives;

(f) Threats of the use of force.

Women and girls are tortured by the same methods as are used on men. In addition, they are stripped naked and beaten with wire cables on sensitive parts of their bodies during interrogation, and electricity is applied to other sensitive areas, such as the breasts, with a view to humiliating them, thus subjecting them to both physical and mental torture.

Israel authorization of experiments on detainees by pharmaceutical companies

The Lebanese Minister of Information has received a letter from the Palestinian Minister of Information, Yasser Abed Rabbo, concerning the Israeli Ministry of Health's practice of granting licences to commercial firms to perform hazardous medical experiments on detainees in the camps of the occupied zone. Part of the letter reads in part as follows:

> "We should like to inform you of one of the most heinous, criminal and blatantly racist measures being practised by the Israeli occupation authorities. A resounding scandal has been brought to light by the Chair of the Science Committee in the Israeli Knesset, Dalia Ezik, who confirmed testimony given by the Chief of the Pharmaceuticals Branch of the Israeli Ministry of Health, Essi Levtat, at the same parliamentary session, to the effect that the Ministry had granted as many as 1,000 licences to conduct hazardous medical experiments on Arab detainees being held in prisons in the occupied zone."

Abed Rabbo describes this criminal activity as a war of extermination that Israel is waging against the detainees by turning them into subjects for experiments. It constitutes, he

says, unprecedented contempt for the humanity of the prisoners and a flagrant attack on their lives, calling for the most extensive campaign of pressure on the Israeli Government to induce it to release the Palestinian Arab detainees.

This serious violation of human rights confronts the international community and humanitarian institutions and organizations with the clear duty of publicizing it and putting an immediate stop to it. Furthermore, medical inspections must be carried out to determine the state of health of the Lebanese, Palestinian and other detainees in prison camps in the Israeli-occupied zone.

Amnesty International reveals the methods of torture used in Israeli prisons and demands assurances that resort to those methods will cease

The Follow-up Committee for the Support of Lebanese Detainees in Prisons in the Israeli-occupied Zone has received a copy of a report issued by Amnesty International stating the latter's position on the continuing detention of Lebanese prisoners and condemning the harsh treatment which they are forced to endure at the hands of the Israelis.

The report also refers to the methods of torture, which, along with medical neglect, have led to the deaths of five detainees. Amnesty International has called upon the Israeli Government to take action with a view to putting a stop to the systematic use of torture or the mistreatment to which detainees are subjected by the Israeli Army, intelligence services and police. On Monday 25 April 1998, the Committee Against Torture, a United Nations body consisting of 10 experts whose function is to monitor the implementation of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel,

Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, examined the first report submitted by Israel under that Convention. It is noteworthy that the Committee received that report only in February 1994, although the deadline for its submission was November 1992, Israel having ratified the Convention in October 1991.

Amnesty International was particularly distressed at the secret guidelines on interrogation used by the Israeli security services. These authorize, as a matter of official policy, a moderate amount of physical pressure. The Landau Commission, which originally laid down these guidelines (known as the "Landau Rules") in October 1987, stated in its recommendations that such pressure must never reach the level of physical torture or ill-treatment of the suspect or grievous harm to his honour such as to deprive him of his human dignity. However, the Commission clearly did say that it was quite acceptable to slap the face of an accused person or threaten him.

Amnesty International states that, in its view, either the official Israeli guidelines on interrogation ultimately do authorize the physical torture of detainees, or the persons in charge of conducting interrogations have flagrantly breached the guidelines without incurring any punishment, and that in either case, immediate action must be taken to remedy the situation. Amnesty International notes that every year, in Israel and in the occupied territories, thousands of persons are arrested and detained for security reasons and that most of them are subjected to various methods of interrogation which reach the level of physical torture or ill-treatment. It states that both the Israeli Army and the Israeli police are responsible for the use of those methods and that the officials in the medical services are clearly collaborating with the army and the police in that practice.

The methods used include placing filthy bags over detainees' heads and depriving them of sleep for long periods of time, generally by forcing them to stand or sit in painful positions. For example, a detainee may be tied into a small chair designed for a child, or compelled to stand with his hands tied to the wall for a long period of time. Other methods referred to in the report include beating at various points on the body, sometimes with particular attention to such sensitive areas as the genitalia, and confinement in a small, unlit cell about the size of a wardrobe.

In 1998, Amnesty International published a report on this subject and submitted it to the United Nations Committee Against Torture. That report contains a detailed description of the suffering endured by seven individuals under interrogation, five of whom died in circumstances suggesting that torture was the main cause of death, or a contributing factor, along with medical neglect.

Detainees in the occupied territories are at greater risk of torture because the Israeli authorities have persisted in holding them for long periods of time without allowing them to communicate with anyone outside the prison camp. In the occupied territories, even where guarantees for the protection of detainees are strongest, detainees are not given the opportunity to appear before a court before the maximum period permitted by law, i.e., 18 days, has elapsed, nor may they engage a lawyer to represent them. In addition, they are prevented for very protracted periods of time from talking with their families.

In view of these harsh measures, Amnesty International has recommended to the Israeli Government that it should take nine specific steps to ensure that torture and ill-treatment does not

occur. These include allowing detainees to communicate without delay with courts, lawyers and doctors, prohibiting all forms of physical pressure or other means of coercion, permitting all allegations of torture to be investigated, and fully implementing all the provisions of the Convention Against Torture.

Conclusion

The fiftieth anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights affords an occasion for reflection about what humanity has actually achieved as a result of the Declaration. Certainly injustice, poverty, disease, ignorance, hunger and degradation are still to be found in many forms, especially in the southern half of the globe, and these constitute a shameful offence against human dignity. Yet the international covenants and pacts on the various aspects of human rights that have been concluded during that period reflect intellectual, legal and political accomplishments that are by no means negligible. To motivate international political will and strengthen the legal tools required to implement the fundamental principles that have been internationally agreed on, give them material form and place them at the service of human rights and human dignity, are goals that are supremely worthy of our continuing efforts. Many jurists, diplomats and politicians have endeavoured to work out international legal frameworks for human rights concepts in our age and their labours have borne fruit in the form of international, regional and national instruments aimed at the codification, protection and confirmation of human rights. The next step to which humankind aspires is for the concept of human rights to be made a fundamental component of the political fabric of local, regional and international structures. This will involve a qualitative change in the functioning of the various types of organizations and national institutions; indeed, it will directly affect international relations and the philosophy

underlying them. The world is moving towards a convergence of its standards, values, means and goals for the strengthening of mankind's humanity and of human dignity, individually and collectively.

Lebanon, which participated in the drafting of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and has consistently affirmed its attachment to the principles enshrined therein, has endeavoured to observe both the spirit and the letter of the Declaration. Its governmental and other national institutions seek to function in ways that are consistent with the requirements of the rights and dignity of its citizens. Lebanon has become a party to the various international instruments concerned with human rights, and their principles are reflected in its domestic legislation. Unfortunately, many of its people and much of its territory now lie prostrate beneath the heel of an aggressive neighbour, as we have seen in the preceding pages.

A comprehensive, just and lasting peace in the Middle East is Lebanon's strategic choice in the hope that the result would be a normal life for its people and the peoples of the other States of the region. A climate of peace and tranquillity would ensure the flowering of Lebanon's individual personality in freedom and democracy; it would also ensure that the societies of the region flourish, grow and prosper. What humankind needs in this part of the world is concerted international efforts and harmonious action with a view to achieving the peace that is so ardently sought. The forces of injustice, aggression and expansionism would then be unable to continue to make a mockery of political human rights and individuals and peoples in the Middle East would be able to live in peace and enjoy opportunities for natural growth and development, thus serving not only their own interests but those of humanity as a whole.

# ISRAELI PRACTICES AFFECTING THE HUMAN RIGHTS OF SYRIAN CITIZENS IN THE OCCUPIED SYRIAN GOLAN

The Israeli occupation of the occupied Syrian Arab Golan has now continued for 31 years, since the aggression of June 1967.

During this period, Israel, the occupying State, has adopted policies and engaged in practices in flagrant violation of the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law and international humanitarian law, in particular the Fourth Geneva Convention relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949, The Hague Conventions of 1899 and 1907, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and The Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict of 1954. It has also blatantly defied all the relevant resolutions of the Security Council and the General Assembly of the United Nations, as well as resolutions adopted by international and regional human rights commissions and organizations, including in particular the Commission on Human Rights. It has, moreover, contemptuously flouted international public opinion.

Ever since the adoption of General Assembly resolution 2443 (XXIII) of 19 December 1968 establishing the Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices Affecting the Human Rights of the Palestinian People and Other Arabs of the Occupied Territories, Israel has refused to receive or cooperate with the Special Committee.

Since the submission of our last report in June 1997, the human rights situation of the population of the Syrian Arab Golan has further deteriorated due to the increasingly intransigent, arbitrary and coercive policies and practices adopted by the Israeli Government headed by Netanyahu. So racist, aggressive and expansionist is the attitude of this Government that it persistently declares, in brazen defiance, its continuing occupation and settlement of the Syrian Golan, the corollary of which is the expropriation of land and water resources and

violation of the rights of the inhabitants of that occupied area.

The Special Committee has submitted 29 reports acquainting the international community with the truly tragic situation which Syrian citizens are experiencing under Israeli occupation as a result of the policies and practices pursued by the Israeli occupation forces.

This report will present the documented facts surrounding statements made by Israeli officials and reports published in the Israeli press in connection with the policies and practices endured by our citizens in the occupied Syrian Golan that are incompatible with all international laws and which also violate human rights. This information and documentation will help to provide a true picture of Israel's relentless persistence in challenging the international community and the worth of humanity ever since its aggression of 1967, as set out in detail below.

## I. ANNEXATION OF THE OCCUPIED GOLAN

From the very outset, Israel endeavoured to prepare the material, human, administrative and political ground for an eventual decision to annex the Golan. To that end, it employed a dual policy aimed at achieving the single objective of isolating the Golan, detaching it from the Syrian motherland and subsequently annexing it to Israel. The first part of this policy, which was implemented in a number of stages, relates to the land, whereas the second part relates to the inhabitants.

While carrying out measures in connection with its occupation of the Golan, Israel was simultaneously preparing its own domestic climate at the official, legal, grass-roots and political levels by means of an extensive and inflammatory media campaign, the

chronological sequence of which was as follows:

June 1979:

Encouraged by the Government and by Israel's political parties and movements, the Settlements Committee for the Golan, Galilee and the Jordan Valley collected signatures to a petition which specifically stated that the Golan was an integral part of Israel. The petition was signed by 73 members of the Ninth Knesset, who together represented most of the political parties, in particular the Likud and the Ma'arach, and who later formed the so-called Golan Lobby. In the settlement of Khisfin, the Golan's first regional council was also formed, bringing together 14 settlements overlooking most of the territory of the Golan.

July 1980:

The Israeli Nationality Act was amended, pursuant to which the Minister of the Interior was entitled to grant Israeli nationality to inhabitants of the areas occupied by Israel since 1967.

October 1980:

Two proposals were tabled in the Knesset calling for annexation of the Golan to Israel. The first was put forward by the Tehiya party and the second by 18 members of the Ninth Knesset who belonged to the ruling coalition.

November 1980:

Offices were opened in the villages of the Syrian Arab Golan with a view to handing out Israeli identity cards and attempting to impose Israeli nationality on Syrian Arab citizens.

March 1981:

Geula Cohen, a Tehiya party member of the Knesset, retabled a proposal advocating the annexation of the Golan to Israel.

July 1981:

Begin announced the programme of his second Government, the eleventh item of which stated that Israel would neither relinquish the Golan nor remove any of the settlements established there. It further stated that it was the Government which would determine when the timing was right for the application of Israeli law, rule and administration in the Golan.

14 December 1981:

The Israeli Government tabled a bill in the Knesset concerning the annexation of the Golan which was approved by a majority. Israel thus officially declared its annexation of the Golan, a decision which was immediately condemned on 18 December by the Security Council in its resolution 497 (1981) and deemed null and void, as well as wholly without legitimacy.

Once the annexation decision had been released, the Israeli Minister of the Interior ordered the border guard and the police to take over the supervision of public order from the army and continue implementation of all the directives pre-dating the annexation decision in connection with festivities, permits and visas. The Minister of Justice also issued an order establishing two conciliation courts, the first in the Syrian Arab village of Mas'adah and the second in the settlement of Katzrin in the centre of the Golan, both of which enjoy powers of legislation within the Golan. He also issued an order extending the jurisdiction of the Nasirah district court to include the consideration of cases relating to the Golan and designating it as the competent authority in cases of appeal against judgements delivered by the two conciliation courts. In addition, the Minister of Communications opened a government office in Mas'adah.

14 February 1982:

Israel imposed Israeli nationality on Syrian Arab citizens in the occupied part of the Golan. Prior to the decision to annex the Golan, the Israeli authorities also carried out various other administrative and organizational measures, which included:

- Dismissing village mayors elected by Arab citizens;
- Forcibly imposing local councils whose members were appointed by the Israeli authorities;
- Attempting to link Syrian Arab citizens with questionable organizations in Israel, such as the Druze-Israeli Spotlight and the Druze-Zionist Organization;
- Holding questionable gatherings, such as those of the Druze-Zionist Circle, in occupied Syrian Arab villages;
- Opening Histradut clubs and coercing Syrian Arabs into joining the Histradut;
- Coercing Syrian Arab citizens into taking out medical insurance and contributing to the Kupat Holim sickness fund;
- Coercing Arab teachers into joining the teachers' union in Israel;
- Preventing Syrian Arab citizens from establishing charitable associations to take care of their medical and social affairs;
- Replacing Syrian Arab vehicle registration plates with Israeli vehicle registration plates;
- Recording new births in registers bearing the words "State of Israel, Ministry of the Interior";
- Imposing the Israeli currency;
- Appointing an advisor on Druze affairs in the Golan, a post which is directly connected to the Ministerial Council for Arab Affairs and to which appointment is made by a decision of the Minister of the Interior;
- Imposing the Hebrew language on Syrian Arab citizens;
- Exerting pressure on Syrian Arab citizens to participate in

the Knesset elections;

- Linking the economy of the occupied Syrian Arab villages to the Israeli market and attempting to deal a blow to that economy by ensuring its dependence on Israeli companies.

In implementing the above measures, Israel wished to strike at the sense of national belonging among the Syrian Arab citizens of the Golan and weaken the national identity with a view to facilitating the process of annexing the Golan and imposing Israeli nationality.

Statements issued over the past year which indicate Israel's insistence on its aggressive and expansionist policy in annexing the Golan and its use of so-called security as a pretext for continuing its occupation of the Arab territories include the following:

- During his visit to the Golan on 17 July 1997, the Israeli Prime Minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, said that the Golan was linked to the future of Israel;

- Netanyahu was quoted as having told the citizens of the Golan that Israel would never think of withdrawing from the Golan (Yedioth Ahronoth, 18 July 1997);

- On 23 July 1997, the Knesset approved the preliminary reading of the proposal to introduce the Golan Act (thus cementing the decision to annex the Golan);

- Following the Knesset's approval of the preliminary reading of the proposal to introduce the Golan Act, Netanyahu stated that it was inconceivable that any Government would ever take a decision to withdraw from a region of Israel without holding a popular

referendum to settle the matter (Ha'aretz, 24 July 1997);

- Netanyahu declared to journalists that the most important achievement of his Government during its first year of office was to halt the withdrawal towards the lines of 1997 (Ma'aref, 29 July 1997);

- In response to a question concerning the geographical boundaries which the Israeli Government could deliver for Israel in any final settlement, Netanyahu said that there was no one in Israel who could deliver any final geographical boundaries and that Israel's boundaries were governed by the two factors of migration and water resources. He also said that the arrangements currently in place were temporary arrangements that were to be reviewed in the light of migration and the increasing need for water, including water as far distant as the Euphrates and the Nile (Muharrir News, 20 October 1997);

- Netanyahu stated that the Golan was a vital part of Israel's security and that peace which was not based on security would not last long (Ma'aref, 11 November 1997);

- Netanyahu remarked that Israel's presence in the Golan Heights and Jabal Shaykh gave it security advantages (Israel Radio, 23 December 1997);

- In an interview with the American Cable News Network (CNN), Netanyahu stated that Israel would not redeploy to the lines of 4 June 1997 (Israel Radio, 7 January 1998);

- Netanyahu was quoted as saying during his meeting with a number of American Jewish organizations in Jerusalem on 13 February 1998 that his Government refused to place trust in peace treaties

with its neighbours and relied primarily on its deterrent capacity, adding that the key to peace in the region was security and nothing else;

- Netanyahu stated that the Golan Heights was the northern wall protecting the State of Israel (Israel Radio, 27 February 1998);

- During an Israeli Government session, Netanyahu said that Israel would not agree to resume negotiations at the point where they had ceased (Ha'aretz, 23 March 1998);

- Netanyahu stated that the peace which Israel sought was one based on security (Ha'aretz, 20 April 1998).

## II. ISRAELI SETTLEMENT IN THE GOLAN

Israeli settlement activities in the occupied Syrian Golan reflect Israel's overriding and permanent strategic aims based on the expropriation of land and water resources and the expulsion of the Arab inhabitants from their land in order to replace them with Jewish settlers, not to mention claims based on security and racist ideology.

Following the Israeli aggression against the Syrian Arab Republic on 5 June 1967, occupation forces expelled 130,000 Arabs (now estimated at around 500,000) from 244 towns, villages and farms which were then destroyed. Only five villages in the northern Golan were spared because the early arrival of United Nations forces at these villages prevented the occupation forces from expelling their residents. Some 23,000 Syrian citizens currently living in these villages are suffering from a variety of repressive and arbitrary Israeli measures.

In place of the destroyed villages, Israel has established, or

is the process of establishing, 40 settlements, many of which have full or abbreviated names derived from the Torah or names of alleged ancient Jewish settlements or Hebrew distortions of Arab place names, thereby revealing the attempts to bestow a Hebrew identity on the region and the intention to continue its occupation. In the past year, the expansion of settlements and the establishment of projects in the occupied Syrian Golan continued, again reflecting the aggressive intention of the present Israeli Government to perpetuate its occupation of the Syrian Golan. The Israeli information media now estimate that the number of Jewish settlers in the Golan stands at between 18,000 and 20,000.

Information follows below on the illegal and internationally condemned Israeli settlement process during the course of the last year.

- A new phenomenon unfolded whereby Israelis purchase new houses in the Golan and convert them into comfortable homes by means of the incentives, grants, facilities and support provided by the Government (Ma'aref, 18 June 1997).

-In mid-June, preliminary work began on the construction of a new section, consisting of approximately 100 housing units, in the settlement of Katzrin and the Government approved the construction of a further section, consisting of approximately 1,000 housing units, as part of the project known as "Build Your Own House" (Ma'aref, 19 June 1997).

-For the first time ever, a private company began work on the construction of housing units in the town of Katzrin, where 6,500 settlers live and where it is to build 96 such units, the construction of which was previously confined to State-owned companies in view of the uncertainty surrounding the future of the

Golan during the period of office of the former Government (Israeli Army Radio, 1 July 1997).

-The Israeli authorities declared their firm intention to transfer the Israeli teachers' training centre from Tiberias to Katzrin in a move aimed at strengthening settlement in the Golan (Israel Radio, 14 July 1997).

-On 18 July 1997, Netanyahu declared that Israel would not leave the Golan and that a hotel would be built in the area of Homa, as well as a new bridge on the River Jordan, in order to increase connections with the Golan.

-In early August, it was announced that the settlement of Katzrin, which has 6,700 settlers, was suffering from a shortage of residential housing owing to the high demand and that a decision had been taken to build 200 housing units during the course of the year. A further 500 housing units are also currently in the planning and implementation stages (Ha'aretz, 4 August 1997).

-It was reported that the Government had authorized the construction of 1,000 housing units as part of a plan to expand the settlements in the Golan, with the number of settlers estimated at between 18,000 and 20,000 (Israel Radio, 27 August 1997).

-On 6 October 1997, the Israeli Land Administration and the Ministry of Housing announced the initial sale of 35 plots of land as part of the "Build Your Own House" project in the settlement of Katzrin, land on which 31 houses and eight housing unit blocks can be constructed.

-A decision was made to offer, free of charge, a 5,000-square metre plot of land to any person wishing to become a settler and to

exempt such person from half the cost of the infrastructural work.

-Approximately one year ago, Israel embarked on the construction of 96 housing units in Katzrin and drew up a plan for the construction of 200 new housing units. A further 1,000 housing units are also in initial planning stage.

-On 8 October 1997, the Israeli information media reported the continuing expansion of settlements, as in the case of the settlement of Katzrin, where construction is on the increase and land is being distributed to settlers for the construction of 31 houses and eight blocks consisting of 96 housing units. Planning is also under way for the construction of 300 housing units and a further 1,000 new units.

- The Netanyahu Government is inciting extremist elements from within by organizing a meeting for the supporters of the Tsumit movement, which is headed by the Minister of Agriculture, Rafael Etan, in the settlement of Katzrin.

---